

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO DIVISION

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN
CITIZENS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREG ABBOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-259
(DCG-JES-JVB)
(consolidated cases)

**THE UNITED STATES AND PRIVATE PLAINTIFFS’
STATUS REPORT REGARDING CASE PROGRESS**

This consolidated litigation challenging Texas’s decennial statewide redistricting plans began in October 2021. In August 2023, this Court ordered the parties to identify all outstanding motions and other relevant pending matters. *See* Order, ECF No. 729; *see also* Jnt. Status Rep., ECF No. 730. Although this Court has since resolved several pending discovery disputes, this matter does not currently have a trial date.¹ To facilitate resolution of the underlying claims, the United States and the Private Plaintiffs now file this status report to (A) identify pending motions, (B) specify pretrial proceedings that will require scheduling, and (C) seek guidance on possible trial dates.

¹ This Court initially scheduled trial to begin in September 2022 but vacated that setting in light of unresolved discovery disputes. *See* Order, ECF No. 569; *see also* Jnt. Advisory, ECF No. 566. In December 2022, the Court directed the parties to file a notice regarding their availability for a trial in the first half of 2023. *See* Notice and Order, ECF No. 665; *see also* Pls. Jnt. Notice, ECF No. 680; State Defs. Advisory, ECF No. 679. However, the Court did not ultimately set trial during 2023. *See also* Jnt. Mot. for Guidance, ECF No. 693 (requesting information regarding trial dates under consideration); Order, ECF No. 696 (denying motion).

(A) Pending Motions

In December 2023 and June 2024, the Court issued four orders resolving many of the parties' discovery disputes. *See* Legis. Priv. Order, ECF No. 746; Kincaid Order, ECF No. 782; Cardwell Order, ECF No. 783; Dave's Redistricting Order, ECF No. 790; *see also* Legis. Priv. Diss. Op., ECF No. 771. Nonetheless, several fully submitted motions remain pending:

- (1) United States' First Motion to Enforce Document Subpoenas (Legislators), ECF No. 35;²
- (2) United States' Second Motion to Enforce Document Subpoenas (Chris Gober and The Gober Group), ECF No. 442;
- (3) LULAC Plaintiffs' First Motion to Enforce Document Subpoenas (Legislators), ECF No. 447;³
- (4) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Reopen Sen. Joan Huffman Deposition, ECF No. 580;
- (5) LULAC Plaintiffs' Third Motion to Enforce Document Subpoenas (Legislators), ECF No. 582;⁴
- (6) United States' Renewed Motion to Compel Eric Wienckowski and Thomas Bryan Documents, ECF No. 634;

² The Court has ruled on assertions of the legislative privilege, *see* Legis. Priv. Order 17, but the Legislators asserted only the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine over 13 contested documents, *see* U.S. 1st Mot. to Enforce Chall. Docs. Index 25-26, 51, 72, 150-51, 154, 212-13, 216, 256 & 259, ECF No. 351-7 (DOC_0353008, DOC_0353009, and DOC_0012507 to DOC_0012517). Although this motion is reflected as resolved on the docket sheet, the Court has not yet ruled on these documents. *See* Legis. Priv. Order 54, 56 & 96-97.

³ The Court has ruled on assertions of the legislative privilege, *see* Legis. Priv. Order 17, but the Legislators asserted only the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine over 11 contested documents, *see* LULAC Pls. 1st Mot. to Enforce Docs. Sought 3-4, ECF No. 447-2 (DOC_0012507 to DOC_0012517). Although this motion is reflected as resolved on the docket sheet, the Court has not yet ruled on these documents. *See* Legis. Priv. Order 162-63.

⁴ The Court has ruled on assertions of the legislative privilege, *see* Legis. Priv. Order 17, but the Legislators asserted only the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine over two contested documents, *see* LULAC Pls. 3d Mot. to Enforce Docs. Sought 19, 35, ECF No. 582-2 (DOC_0001229 and DOC_0001474). Although this motion is reflected as resolved on the docket sheet, the Court has not yet ruled on these documents. *See* Legis. Priv. Order 345, 352.

- (7) State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Fair Maps Plaintiffs' Supplemental Complaint, ECF No. 779; and
- (8) State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Abuabara Plaintiffs' Supplemental Complaint, ECF No. 785.

(B) Pretrial Proceedings

Given the reenactment of redistricting plans for the Texas Senate and Texas House, the occurrence of intervening elections, the release of new data from the U.S. Census Bureau, the release of intervening Supreme Court decisions, and the passage of time, the parties will need to conduct limited additional discovery prior to trial. Since previous pretrial deadlines have been vacated, the pretrial proceedings that will require scheduling in this case include:

- (1) Supplementing initial disclosures and discovery responses;
- (2) Conducting limited fact discovery and depositions of newly disclosed witnesses, witnesses likely to testify on newly disclosed subjects, and witnesses implicated by newly disclosed documents;
- (3) Disclosing supplemental expert reports;
- (4) Conducting depositions of experts who have disclosed supplemental reports;
- (5) Filing pretrial materials, including Rule 26(a)(3) disclosures and objections, motions in limine, and a joint pretrial order; and
- (6) Holding a final pretrial conference.

To complete these proceedings, the United States and the Private Plaintiffs estimate that they will need at least 120 days between the reopening of discovery and the commencement of trial.

(C) Trial Guidance

The United States and the Private Plaintiffs submit that scheduling trial would facilitate the resolution of this litigation. The United States and the Private Plaintiffs agree that holding trial at the end of this year is reasonable, should pending motions be resolved with sufficient time

to complete pretrial proceedings.⁵ Accordingly, the United States and the Private Plaintiffs respectfully request guidance from the Court as to the dates on which it is available for trial. Upon receiving the Court's availability, the United States and the Private Plaintiffs agree to meet and confer with Defendants and submit a proposed scheduling order or alternative proposals.

Dated: July 26, 2024

⁵ The LULAC Plaintiffs have appealed the Court's December 2023 and June 2024 orders involving the legislative privilege. *See* LULAC Pls. 1st Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 755; LULAC Pls. 2d Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 784. Although the parties have not yet briefed the consolidated appeals, *see* Notice Letter, Doc. No. 49, *LULAC v. Abbott*, No. 24-50128 (5th Cir. July 18, 2024) (setting August 27, 2024 as the deadline for the LULAC Plaintiffs to file their opening brief), the LULAC Plaintiffs are willing to proceed to trial even as the appeals remain pending. In the event that the LULAC Plaintiffs obtain additional discovery after trial, a brief supplemental hearing could be appropriate.

For the United States:

KRISTEN CLARKE
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

/s/ Jaywin Singh Malhi

R. TAMAR HAGLER
TIMOTHY F. MELLETT
DANIEL J. FREEMAN
MICHELLE RUPP
JACKI L. ANDERSON
HOLLY F.B. BERLIN
JAYWIN SINGH MALHI
Attorneys, Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20530
(800) 253-3931
timothy.f.mellett@usdoj.gov
daniel.freeman@usdoj.gov
michelle.rupp@usdoj.gov
jacki.anderson@usdoj.gov
holly.berlin@usdoj.gov
jaywin.malhi@usdoj.gov

For LULAC Plaintiffs:

/s/ Nina Perales

NINA PERALES
FÁTIMA MENÉNDEZ
JULIA LONGORIA
Mexican American Legal Defense and
Educational Fund (MALDEF)
110 Broadway Street, Suite 300
San Antonio, TX 78205
(210) 224-5476
nperales@maldef.org
fmenendez@maldef.org
jlongoria@maldef.org

For Bacy Plaintiffs:

/s/ David R. Fox

ABHA KHANNA*
Elias Law Group LLP
1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 656-0177
akhanna@elias.law

DAVID R. FOX*
RICHARD A. MEDINA*
Elias Law Group
250 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 968-4490
dfox@elias.law
rmedina@elias.law

RENEA HICKS
Law Office of Max Renea Hicks
P.O. Box 303187
Austin, Texas 78703-0504
(512) 480-8231
rhicks@renea-hicks.com

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

For MALC Plaintiffs:

/s/ Sean J. McCaffity

GEORGE (TEX) QUESADA
SEAN J. MCCAFFITY
Sommerman, McCaffity, Quesada & Geisler,
L.L.P.
3811 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75219-4461
(214) 720-0720
quesada@textrial.com
smccaffity@textrial.com

JOAQUIN GONZALEZ
Attorney at Law
1055 Sutton Dr.
San Antonio, TX 78228
jgonzalez@malc.org

For Plaintiff Martinez Fischer:

/s/ Martin Golando

MARTIN GOLANDO
The Law Office of Martin Golando, PLLC
2326 W. Magnolia
San Antonio, Texas 78201
(210) 471-1185
martin.golando@gmail.com

For Plaintiff Texas NAACP:

/s/ Pooja Chaudhuri

LINDSEY B. COHAN
Dechert LLP
515 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 394-3000
lindsey.cohan@dechert.com

JON GREENBAUM*
EZRA D. ROSENBERG*
POOJA CHAUDHURI*
SOFIA FERNANDEZ GOLD*
ALEXANDER S. DAVIS*
Lawyers' Committee for Civil
Rights Under Law
1500 K Street, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 662-8600
jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org
erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org
pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org
sfgold@lawyerscommittee.org
adavis@lawyerscommittee.org

NEIL STEINER*
NICHOLAS GERSH*
MARGARET MORTIMER*
Dechert LLP
1095 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 698-3822
neil.steiner@dechert.com
nicholas.gersh@dechert.com
margaret.mortimer@dechert.com

ROBERT NOTZON
The Law Office of Robert Notzon
1502 West Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 474-7563
robert@notzonlaw.com

GARY BLEDSOE
The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC
6633 Highway 290 East #208
Austin, Texas 78723-1157
(512) 322-9992
gbledsoe@thebledsoelawfirm.com
*Attorney only as to claims related to State
Senate and State House plans*

ANTHONY P. ASHTON*
JANETTE M. LOUARD
ANNA KATHRYN BARNES
NAACP OFFICE OF THE GENERAL
COUNSEL
4805 Mount Hope Drive
Baltimore, MD 21215
(410) 580-5777
aashton@naacpnet.org
jlouard@naacpnet.org
abarnes@naacpnet.org

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

For Fair Maps Plaintiffs:

/s/ Hilary Harris Klein

HILARY HARRIS KLEIN*

MITCHELL BROWN*

Southern Coalition for Social Justice
5517 Durham Chapel Hill Boulevard
Durham, NC 27707
(919) 323-3380
hilaryhklein@scsj.org
mitchellbrown@scsj.org

JERRY VATTAMALA*

SUSANA LORENZO-GIGUERE*

PATRICK STEGEMOELLER*

Asian American Legal Defense and
Education Fund
99 Hudson Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10013
(212) 966-5932
jvattamala@aaldef.org
slorenzo-giguere@aaldef.org
pstegemoeller@aaldef.org

PAUL D. BRACHMAN*

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
LLP
2001 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 223-7440
pbrachman@paulweiss.com

DAVID A. DONATTI

ASHLEY HARRIS

THOMAS BUSER-CLANCY

ACLU Foundation of Texas, Inc.
P.O. Box 8306
Houston, TX 77288
(713) 942-8146
ddonnati@aclutx.org
aharris@aclutx.org
tbuser-clancy@aclutx.org

YURIJ RUDENSKY*

Brennan Center for Justice
at NYU School of Law
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, NY 10271
(646) 292-8310
rudenskyy@brennan.law.nyu.edu

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

For Brooks Plaintiffs:

/s/ Chad W. Dunn

CHAD W. DUNN
Brazil & Dunn
4407 Bee Caves Road
Building 1, Ste. 111
Austin, TX 78746
(512) 717-9822
chad@brazilanddunn.com

MARK P. GABER*
Mark P. Gaber PLLC
P.O. Box 34481
Washington, DC 20043
(715) 482-4066
mark@markgaber.com

JESSE GAINES*
P.O. Box 50093
Fort Worth, TX 76105
(817) 714-9988
gainesjesse@ymail.com

MOLLY E. DANAHY*
P.O. Box 26277
Baltimore, MD 21211
(208) 301-1202
danahy.molly@gmail.com

SONNI WAKNIN*
10300 Venice Blvd. # 204
Culver City, CA 90232
(732) 610-1283
sonniwaknin@gmail.com

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

For Plaintiff-Intervenors:

/s/ Nickolas A. Spencer

GARY BLEDSOE
The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC
6633 Highway 290 East #208
Austin, TX 78723
(512) 322-9992
gbledsoe@thebledsoelawfirm.com

NICKOLAS A. SPENCER
Spencer & Associates, PLLC
9100 Southwest Freeway, Suite 122
Houston, TX 77074
(713) 863-1409
nas@naslegal.com

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERRAL

I hereby certify that, from July 9 to 24, 2024, counsel for the United States met and conferred with counsel for the State Defendants concerning the subject of this status report. Counsel for the State Defendants indicated that the State Defendants “will be unable to join the [s]tatus [r]eport absent considerable modification.” Accordingly, counsel for the State Defendants suggested, and counsel for the United States agreed, that the State Defendants “will file a separate [s]tatus [r]eport.”

/s/ Jaywin Singh Malhi

Jaywin Singh Malhi
Attorney, Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530
(800) 253-3931
jaywin.malhi@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on July 26, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of this filing to counsel of record.

/s/ Jaywin Singh Malhi

Jaywin Singh Malhi
Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530
(800) 253-3931
jaywin.malhi@usdoj.gov